UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL on MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

FILED

JUL 1 2 2011

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS EAST ST. LOUIS OFFICE

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

(SEE ATTACHED SCHEDULE)

CONDITIONAL TRANSFER ORDER (CTO-65)

On October 1, 2009, the Panel transferred 32 civil action(s) to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1407. See 655 F.Supp.2d 1343 (J.P.M.L. 2009). Since that time, 768 additional action(s) have been transferred to the Southern District of Illinois. With the consent of that court, all such actions have been assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

It appears that the action(s) on this conditional transfer order involve questions of fact that are common to the actions previously transferred to the Southern District of Illinois and assigned to Judge Herndon.

Pursuant to Rule 7.1 of the Rules of Procedure of the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation, the action(s) on the attached schedule are transferred under 28 U.S.C. §1407 to the Southern District of Illinois for the reasons stated in the order of October 1, 2009, and, with the consent of that court, assigned to the Honorable David R Herndon.

This order does not become effective until it is filed in the Office of the Clerk of the United States District Court for the Southern District of Illinois. The transmittal of this order to said Clerk shall be stayed 7 days from the entry thereof. If any party files a notice of opposition with the Clerk of the Panel within this 7—day period, the stay will be continued until further order of the Panel.

FOR THE PANEL:

Jeffery N. Lüthi Clerk of the Panel

Inasmuch as no objection is pending at this time, the stay is lifted.

Jul 07, 2011

CLERK'S OFFICE
UNITED STATES
JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

Deputy Clerk

CERTIFIED TRUE COPY

Date_

IN RE: YASMIN AND YAZ (DROSPIRENONE) MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2100

SCHEDULE CTO-65 - TAG-ALONG ACTIONS

DIST	DIV.	C.A.NO.	CASE CAPTION
FLORIDA SOUTHERN			
FLS	9	11-80729	Roberts v. Bayer Corporation et al 11. ZOD 93. DEH. PUF
MINNESOTA			
MN	0	11-01595	Gootee v. Bayer Healthcare Pharmaceuticals, Inc. et al 11. 20094 DOH-P
NEW JERSEY			
NJ	2	11-03024	TORRES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11-20095 DEH-PUF
NJ	2	11-03025	RODRIGUEZ v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11.20096 DEH PUT
NJ	2	11-03027	SMITH v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11-20097 DEH PUF
NJ	2	11-03028	RUBIO v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11.20098. DEH.PUF
NJ	2	11-03029	MARCILLE et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11-20099 DEH PU
NJ	2	11-03030	MANZANARES v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11. 20100 DEH. P.
NJ	2	11-03031	SMITH et al v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11.20101 DEH DUF
NJ	2	11-03032	TELLEZ v. BAYER CORPORATION et al 11.20102. DEH. PUT